



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,245		12/18/2001	Kevin F. Bernier	8522	3893
20349	7590	06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
		ORATION	BARQADLE, YASIN M		
	PATENT DEPARTMENT 1265 MAIN STREET				PAPER NUMBER
WALTHAM	M, MA 0	2451	2153		
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/023,245	BERNIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yasin M. Barqadle	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 April 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-30</u> is/are without						
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	[7]	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050621				

Art Unit: 2153

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed on April 18, 2005 has been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive.
 - Claims 1-30 were originally presented for examination.
 - Claims 1 and 15 are currently amended.
 - Claims 25-30 are cancelled.
 - Claims 1-24 are presented for examination.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant argues that Kemp "does not show, disclose, teach or suggest a method or system in which the permanent record is printed on a remote printer chose and designated by the user." Examiner notes that Kemp teaches a method of providing a service and generating (providing print service), at the location of a user chosen and designated remote printer, a permanent record of said service (user selects remote printer from a list of favorite service providers and choose the provider address and type of printer to perform the service requested by the user at the remote printer (See figs. 1 and 7¶ 0057-0062). Kemp further teaches user provided data identifying and specifying user

Page 3

Art Unit: 2153

chosen remote printer (user selects remote printer from a list of favorite service providers) and data regarding how to address and access said remote printer over a data communications network (user selects the provider address and type of printer to perform the service via GUI (figs 6-7) utilizing search criteria for accessing specific service provider ¶ 0057-0062. see service provider 2, fig. 1 operated remote server 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "identified user chosen and designated

remote printer". Examiner could not find in the specification the language of user chosen and designated remote printer or any similar language where the user chooses and designates a specific remote printer.

Claims 1 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. "identified user chosen and designated remote printer". Examiner could not find in the specification the language of user chosen and designated remote printer or any similar language where the user chooses and designates a specific remote printer. It is not clear how this is done in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 13-18, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kemp et al USPUB. (20020078160).

As per claim 1, Kemp et al teach a method of providing a service and generating (providing print service), at the location of a user chosen and designated remote printer, a permanent record of said service, wherein, before generating the permanent record, data necessary to provide said service and data necessary to provide said permanent record are processed by at least one remote server operated by a service provider (service provider 2, fig. 1) (abstract and fig. 1), said method comprising the steps:

(A) receiving over data communication network (Internet 5, fig.1) at remote service provider receiving center (fig. 1, 2), from a user operated communications device (fig. 1, 1), a request for the service and said data necessary to provide the service (receiving a request for data to be printed at service provider ¶ 0035) including user provided data identifying and

specifying said user chosen remote printer and data regarding how to address and access said remote printer over a data communications network (user selects remote printer from a list of favorite service providers and choose the provider address and type of printer to perform the service. User provides search criteria for accessing service provider ¶ 0057-0062), said receiving center comprising at least one service provider operated remote server (service provider 2, fig. 1 operated remote server 20);

said data necessary to provide the service being processed to generate data required for the service (\P 0035-0042);

(B) processing on said service provider operated remote server said data required for the service and other stored data to generate input data for a specific and identified user chosen and designated remote printer (¶ 0037-0041 and ¶ 0084-0087);

(C) transmitting by said service provider over a data communication network (Internet 5) to said specific user designated remote printer said input data (print data is submitted over the Internet to a selected remote printer at a remote service provider, fig. 1 ¶ 0020-0023), said input being rendered by the specific printer as the permanent record of said service (¶ 0038-0042; ¶ 0048-0053 and ¶ 0069-0070. see also ¶ 0085-0087).

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 2, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 1 wherein the receiving center comprises a receiving server (fig. 1, server 20).

As per claim 3, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 2 wherein step (B) farther comprises the steps providing said data required for the service to a printing server (\P 0035-0037); and generating the input data for a specific printer at the printing server (\P 0070-0071 and \P 0085).

As per claim 4, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 1 wherein step (B) further comprises:

completing a transaction at a transaction server, said transaction depending on the requested service, said transaction server being one of said remote servers (fig. 1 and \P 0056).

As per claim 5, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 2 wherein step (B) further comprises:

completing a transaction at a transaction server, said transaction depending on the requested service, said transaction server being one of said remote servers (fig. 1 and \P 0056).

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 6, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 1 further comprising the step of:

sending, after step (B) a message confirming that the request for service has been fulfilled (\P 0066).

As per claim 7, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 2 further comprising the step of:

sending, after step (B) a message confirming that the request for service has been fulfilled (\P 0066).

As per claim 8, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 1 wherein step (B) further comprises:

processing the data for the service and other data to generate input data to produce the optimal quality print for a specific printer (¶ 0041, ¶ 0070-0071 and ¶ 0085).

As per claim 9, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 2 wherein the receiving server is a printing Server (fig. 1, server 20).

As per claim 10, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 2 wherein the receiving server is a service server (fig. 1, server 20 and \P 0039).

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 13, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 wherein the requested service is an image (\P 0036-0038).

As per claim 14, Kemp et al teach the method of Claim 1, wherein the requested service is a compound document (\P 0036-0039).

Regarding claim 15, this is a system claim with similar limitations as claim 1 above. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale.

As per claim 16, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 further comprising:

means for completing a transaction at a transaction server, said transaction depending on the requested service, said transaction server being one of said remote servers (fig. 1 and \P 0056).

As per claim 17, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 further comprising:

means for sending, after processing the data required for the service, a message confirming that the request for service has been fulfilled (\P 0066).

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 18, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 further comprising:

means for processing the data for the service and other data to generate input data to produce the optimal quality print for a specific printer (¶ 0041, ¶ 0070-0071 and ¶ 0085).

As per claim 21, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 wherein the requested service is an image (\P 0036-0038).

As per claim 22, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 wherein the requested service is a compound document (¶ 0036-0039).

As per claim 23, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 wherein the receiving center comprises a receiving server (fig. 1, server 20 and \P 0038-0042).

As per claim 24, Kemp et al teach the system of Claim 15 further comprising:

means for providing said data required for the service to a printing server (fig. 1, server 20 and \P 0039); and

means for generating the input data for a specific printer at the printing server (fig. 1, server 20 and \P 0038-0042).

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11-12 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemp et al USPUB (20020078160) in view of Sehr US. Pub. (20020100802).

Regarding claim 11 and 19, although Kemp et al show substantial features of the claimed invention, he does not explicitly show wherein the requested service is an event ticket.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Kemp et al, as evidenced by Sehr US Pub. (20020100802).

In analogous art, Sehr whose invention is about services offered by service providers at remote locations, such as advanced ticket purchasing stations, automated vending machines, travel agencies and entertainment entities, or providers of on-line

services to traveling individuals, discloses providing an event ticket service [¶ 0029 and 0054]. Giving the teaching of Sehr, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and the advantage of modifying Kemp et al by employing the system of Sehr because this will improve quality of service offered to customers using ticket dependant events to participate the event with a minimal delay.

As per claim 12 and 20, Sehr teaches the method of Claim 1 and 15, wherein the requested service is a coupon [redeemable points in a card ¶00 47 and 0053].

Conclusion

6. ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Art Unit: 2153

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Barqadle whose telephone number is 571-272-3947. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may

be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR system.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YB

Art Unit 2153

GLENTON B. BUNGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100